



City of Rockaway Beach

City Council Workshop Meeting Agenda

Date: Wednesday, August 12, 2026

Time: 4:30 PM

Location: Rockaway Beach City Hall, 276 Hwy 101 - 2nd Floor Conference Room

Watch meeting here: rockawaybeachoregon.gov/meetings

Join here to attend remotely:

<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/88232635034?pwd=Fa4rs6O4aDIUIZBNNWJDvexMo44BTP.1>

Meeting ID: 882 3263 5034

Passcode: 445946

Dial by your location

253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)

What is a City Council Workshop? Workshops are intended to allow for preliminary discussions by the City Council and staff. Workshops are held to present information to the Council so that the Council is prepared for upcoming regular meetings. Workshops are subject to Oregon's public meeting law and must be noticed accordingly. No final City Council decisions are made during workshops. The public is encouraged to attend workshops but may not participate unless expressly asked.

Note: Agenda item times are estimates and are subject to change.

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL

Mayor: Charles McNeilly

Councilors: Penny Cheek, Kiley Konruff, Tom Martine, Mary McGinnis, Pat Ryan

3. COUNCIL BRIEFING/DISCUSSION

- a. Update on Regional Water Plan
- b. Review Proposed 2027 Community Grant Program Schedule and Policy
- c. LOC Legislative Priorities Ranking

4. ADJOURNMENT

Rockaway Beach City Hall is accessible to persons with disabilities. A request for an interpreter for the hearing impaired or for other accommodations for persons with disabilities should be made at least 48 hours before the meeting to the City Recorder Melissa Thompson at cityrecorder@corb.us or 503-374-1752.

North Tillamook Regional Water Supply Master Plan Update

Request to Participate in a Regional Collaborative

The North Tillamook County Regional Water Supply Master Plan was completed in 1993 to help ensure reliable drinking water for communities throughout North Tillamook County. While the Plan established an important regional vision, many of its goals remain unfinished and new drinking water challenges have emerged.

Why a Collaborative?

Because the updated Plan will serve multiple cities and drinking water providers, participating communities will work together to:

- Establish shared goals for the Plan update.
- Develop a scope of work for an engineering consultant.
- Pursue funding for the engineering work.
- Review and provide input throughout the planning process.

A **phased approach** allows communities to participate one step at a time before making future implementation decisions. The current request is for participation in **Phase 1 (2026 - 2027)**, which will establish collaborative operating agreements, define shared goals for the Plan update, develop a scope of services for an engineering consultant, and pursue funding for the Plan update. Future phases will include completion of the Plan update (Phase 2) and, ultimately, implementation of the updated Plan (Phase 3).

What Willamette Partnership Will Provide

Willamette Partnership is convening a regional collaborative to update the Plan with support from the U.S. EPA Environmental Finance Center. Technical assistance and facilitation will be provided at no cost to participating communities through September 2027.

- Collaborative facilitation.
- A memo summarizing community needs for the Plan update.
- A draft scope of services for the engineering consultant.
- Preparation of at least one funding application.

Requested Commitment

Participating communities are asked to:

- Designate a staff point of contact.
- Participate in at least four virtual collaborative meetings.
- Participate in one individual interview.
- Share relevant planning and technical documents.
- Review and provide feedback on draft deliverables.

Cost: There is no financial commitment requested at this time. Participation is limited to staff time during Phase 1.





**City of Rockaway Beach,
Oregon**

276 S. Highway 101, PO Box 5
Rockaway Beach, OR 97136
(503) 374-1752
www.corb.us

Community Grant Program: Update Summary

The Community Grant program is a City program that provides funding to organizations that serve the Rockaway Beach community. Grant applications are reviewed by the Planning Commission and City Council once per fiscal year.

In March and April of 2026, the Planning Commission reviewed small and large grant applications and noted potential improvements to the grant program. The Commission tasked Staff with preparing amendments to facilitate future grant reviews and provide greater clarity for applicants. In both April and May, the Planning Commission workshopped potential improvements to the program that led to the proposed amendments included in this document.

Four key proposed changes include:

- **Project-based review.** Previously, organizations could apply for only one small grant and one large grant per cycle. As a result, multiple projects were often combined in a single large grant application, complicating the review and approval process when the Planning Commission and City Council sought to prioritize and fund specific projects.
- **A single Community Grant.** As the funding pool for both the small and the large grants is the same, and the recommendation for funding for small grants impacts the available funding for large grants. Both the small and large grants are combined into a single review process to assist the Planning Commission and City Council to make an informed recommendation and decision on how funding is disbursed.
- **Additional eligibility criteria.** Language has been added to clarify that for-profit organizations are not eligible for grant funds without partnering with an eligible organization.
- **Additional selection criteria.** To refine the recommendation process, additional selection criteria scoring, in line with the program's key principles and funding priorities, has been added.

City of Rockaway Beach Community Grant Program

Program Details

Maximum Grant Request: \$20,000 (\$4,999.99 for Community groups and organizations that have not achieved Non-profit and not-for-profit status)

Applications open: January 4, 2027

Deadline to apply: Thursday, February 4, 2027 at 12:00 p.m.

OVERVIEW

The Community Grant program provides support to non-profit, not-for-profit organizations, and community groups that serve the Rockaway Beach community. This grant program accepts applications once per fiscal year. Organizations and groups may submit more than one application for consideration every grant cycle. Each application must contain a single, independent project. A project is understood to be a temporary, unique, and planned endeavor designed to achieve a specific goal within defined time, cost, and scope constraints.

ELIGIBILITY

Grant funds are available to support organizations and groups which provide:

- Assistance for essential utilities, food, medical or mental health needs, clothing, or shelter.
- Educational, cultural, social, physical, or recreational opportunities for residents.
- Positive volunteerism that enhances city aesthetics, benefits the environment, promotes positive community engagement, or support city initiatives or projects.

Eligible Applicants:

- Non-profit and not-for-profit organizations.
- Community groups and community-oriented organizations that do not have Non-profit and not-for-profit status may also apply; however, the maximum grant request may not exceed \$4,999.99.

Grants will only be awarded to an applicant if the applicant has satisfactorily closed out all previous grants issued by the City of Rockaway Beach. All projects proposing partnerships with the City of Rockaway Beach must receive department head sign-off or include a letter of support as part of the application.

For profit businesses are not eligible to apply for the Community Grant and are instead encouraged to apply for building improvement funds and/or marketing needs through the City's Business Facade Grant and/or Marketing Grant programs. For profit entities may partner with an eligible entity to apply for the Community Grant for projects that fall within the scope of the Community Grant.

Ineligible projects:

- Tourism based/supported projects
- Day-to-day operational expenses and payroll
- Marketing and promotional project
- Political activities and fundraising for political activities
- Projects which drive/support commerce

GRANT TIMELINE

Notice of grant calendar posted online December 3, 2026

Applications open January 4, 2027

Applications due, 12:00 p.m. February 4, 2027

Applicant Presentations to Planning Commission February 18, 2027

Planning Commission review and recommendations March 18, 2027

City Council award of decision April 14, 2027

Notification to Grantees; award agreement sent to grant recipients April 21, 2027

Project deadline – 10 months from City Council decision February 14, 2028

Project Expense Worksheet / Grant Close Out Form due February 28, 2028

Last Opportunity for Presenting Closeout Report to City Council in order to be eligible for award in next grant cycle March 8, 2028

KEY PRINCIPLES

In the application, eligible Applicants are asked to describe how the proposed project contributes to a healthy, inclusive, charitable, equitable community, and advances the funding priorities of this grant. The City of Rockaway Beach believes that supporting its organizations and groups that encourage this type of community building will make a better future for everyone.

Projects funded through the Community Grant must predominately support or be attended by the Rockaway Beach community.

FUNDING PRIORITIES

1. Projects that make the largest impact for Rockaway Beach residents.
2. Projects that fill an existing need that would not be met without grant funding.
3. Projects that bring the community together and create positive community engagement.

SELECTION CRITERIA

All applications for eligible projects will be rated and ranked based on the following criteria. While the following criteria has been deemed most important, additional relevant factors beyond the score may be worthy of consideration.

Healthy Community Score (20 points)

A healthy community is one where access to fresh, healthy foods are available, educational resources are provided, physical fitness is promoted, recreational opportunities are encouraged, medical and mental health needs are met, and the environment is cared for.

- Does the project offer opportunities to improve physical or mental health?
- Does the project provide healthy opportunities to those who may not otherwise have access?
- Does the project promote healthy lifestyle changes?
- Does the project address an environmental need or problem?

Inclusive Community Score (20 points)

This score measures how well the project strengthens the local sense of community. In an inclusive community diversity is valued, social opportunities are plentiful, community involvement thrives, and residents work together to support city initiatives or projects.

- Does the project create new social opportunities for residents?
- Does the project strengthen the local sense of community or serve an underrepresented population?
- Does the project bring the community together to work towards a common goal?

Charitable Community Score (20 points)

This score measures how well the project supports local charitable efforts. Charitable communities value giving back to others, promote volunteer opportunities, and strive to meet the needs of the local community.

- Does the project offer new opportunities for residents to volunteer to better the community?
- Does the project provide services or opportunities currently lacking in the community?

Equitable Community Score (20 points)

This score measures how well the project encourages equity throughout the local community. Equitable communities provide a helping hand to residents in need and meet the needs of underserved community members.

- Has the organization identified an underserved community the project seeks to support?
- How does the project assist residents who need additional support?
- Does the project provide services or assistance to underserved community members that would not be provided otherwise?

Community Funding Priority Score (20 points)

This score measures how well the project reflects the key principles and funding priorities of the Community Grant Program.

- Does the project predominately support the Rockaway Beach community?
- Are there provisions to promote the attendance of or impact on Rockaway Beach Residents?
- Does the project address an existing need that would not be met without grant funding?
- How does the project bring the community together and create positive community engagement?

GRANT REVIEW PROCESS

The City of Rockaway Beach staff will answer questions regarding the grant application process prior to the grant application deadline.

Each application received will first be reviewed by the Grant Manager for completeness. If the application is incomplete or not received by the deadline, it will not be submitted to the Planning Commission for consideration.

After the grant application deadline, applicants may present their applications at the Planning Commission meeting on February 18, 2027. Presentations are limited to five minutes and allow applicants to address questions or provide clarification. All necessary information for decision-making must be included in the original grant application.

The Planning Commission will review, score, and rank all of the applications received, then make initial scoring recommendations for funding at the March 18, 2027 Meeting.

The City Council will review the applications and the Planning Commission's recommendations at their workshop on April 14, 2027.

The City Council will make the award decisions at their regular meeting on April 14, 2027.

FUNDING PROCESS

Once the grant is awarded and agreement is signed, Grantees can start on projects.

Grant funds will be issued within two weeks of agreement execution via check.

Upon completion of the project, the Grantee shall complete the Project Expense Worksheet /Grant Close Out form. The Grantee shall be scheduled to make a five-minute

presentation to the City Council to publicly share how the grant funds were spent. The presenter shall not be a member of City Council or Planning Commission.

The City Council shall determine the grant complete through a motion at a public meeting.

Please choose your 5 top priorities using the check boxes. Each priority title is a hyperlink that will take you to that specific page of the voter guides for additional information.

Broadband, Cybersecurity, AI, and Telecommunications Committee

- ☐ Promote the Equitable Development of Sustainable Telecom Infrastructure
- ☐ Maintain Local Control of a Safe, Modern Right of Way
- ☐ Support Equitable Access to Technology
- ☐ Support Responsible Municipal Use of Technology
- ☐ Support Municipal Cybersecurity Proficiency

General Government Committee

- ☐ Administrative Procedures Act Reform
- ☐ Public Meetings Law Clarity

Housing, Land Use, and Community Development

- ☐ Funding and Coordination for Shelter and Homeless Response
- ☐ Full Funding and Streamlining for Housing Production
- ☐ Land Availability and Land Use Streamlining

Energy and Environment Committee

- ☐ Invest in Community Energy Resilience/Disaster Planning
- ☐ Support Expedited Local Renewable Energy Generation
- ☐ Support Increased Energy Transmission Capacity
- ☐ Maintain Affordable Energy while Supporting Grid Hardening and Modernization
- ☐ Resource Programs for Energy Efficiency Planning and Project Development
- ☐ Support Administrative Capacity

Finance, Taxation, and Economic Development Committee

- ☐ Targeted Prevailing Wage Changes
- ☐ Alcohol Revenue Modernization
- ☐ Emergency Communication System Resources
- ☐ Strengthening Economic and Workforce Development

Transportation Committee

- ☐ 2027 Transportation Package
- ☐ Cautious Adoption of Autonomous Vehicles
- ☐ City Supported Street Sign Traditions

Water and Wastewater Committee

- ☐ Infrastructure Funding
- ☐ Water Reuse
- ☐ Ratepayer Assistance
- ☐ Water Workforce Development



League of Oregon Cities

2026 Member Voter Guide
2027-28 LOC Legislative Priorities

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2026 Member Voter Guide.....	3
Background	3
Ballot/Voting Process:.....	3
Broadband, Cybersecurity, AI, and Telecommunications Committee.....	4
Promote the Equitable Development of Sustainable Telecom Infrastructure	4
Maintain Local Control of a Safe, Modern Right of Way	4
Support Equitable Access to Technology	4
Support Responsible Municipal Use of Technology	5
Support Municipal Cybersecurity Proficiency.....	5
General Government Committee	6
Administrative Procedures Act Reform.....	6
Public Meetings Law Clarity	7
Housing, Land Use, and Community Development Committee	8
Funding and Coordination for Shelter and Homeless Response	8
Full Funding And Streamlining For Housing Production	8
Land Availability And Land Use Streamlining.....	9
Energy and Environment Committee	10
Invest In Community Energy Resilience/Disaster Planning.....	10
Support Expedited Local Renewable Energy Generation	10
Support Increased Energy Transmission Capacity.....	11
Maintain Affordable Energy While Supporting Grid Hardening and Modernization	11
Resource Programs For Energy Efficiency Planning and Project Development	11
Support Administrative Capacity	12
Finance, Taxation, and Economic Development Committee	12
Targeted Prevailing Wage Changes	12
Alcohol Revenue Modernization.....	13
Emergency Communication System Resources.....	13
Strengthening Economic and Workforce Development	14
Transportation Committee	15
2027 Transportation Package	15
Cautious Adoption of Autonomous Vehicles.....	16
City Supported Street Sign Traditions	17
Water and Wastewater Committee	18
Infrastructure Funding	18
Water Reuse	18
Ratepayer Assistance.....	19
Water Workforce Development.....	19

2026 Member Voter Guide

Background: The LOC [policy committees](#) are the foundation of the League's policy development process. Each even-numbered year, the LOC appoints city officials to serve on these committees. They receive informational briefings, analyze policy and technical issues, and ultimately recommend positions and strategies for the upcoming two-year legislative cycle. We want to acknowledge officials that dedicated their time and expertise to develop the priorities – *thank you* for supporting the LOC in crafting a strong agenda to support our legislative work.

This year, seven committees identified 27 legislative policy priorities to advance to the full membership and LOC Board of Directors. It's important to understand that the issues that do not rise to the top based on member ranking are not diminished with respect to their value to the policy committee or the LOC's advocacy. These issues will still be key components of the LOC's legislative portfolio for the next two years.

Ballot/Voting Process: Each city is asked to review the recommendations from the each of the policy committees and provide input to the LOC Board of Directors, which will formally adopt the LOC's 2027-28 legislative agenda. While each city may have a different process when evaluating the issues, it's important for cities to engage with their mayor and entire council to ensure the issues are evaluated and become a shared set of priorities. During its October meeting, the LOC Board will formally adopt a set of priorities based on the ranking process through the survey and their evaluation.

Each city is permitted **one** ballot submission. **Once your city has reviewed the proposed legislative priorities, please complete the electronic ballot to indicate the top five (5) issues that your city would like the LOC to focus on during the 2027-28 legislative cycle.** The lead administrative staff member (city manager, city recorder, etc.) will be provided with a link to the electronic ballot. If your city did not receive a ballot link or needs an alternative way to vote, please reach out to Meghyn Fahndrich at mfahndrich@orcities.org and Nicole Stingh at nstingh@orcities.org.

Important Deadline: The deadline for submitting your city's vote is **5 p.m. on Friday, September 25, 2026.**

Broadband, Cybersecurity, Artificial Intelligence (AI), and Telecommunications Committee

LOC Contact: Greg Miller, gmliller@orcities.org

PROMOTE THE EQUITABLE DEVELOPMENT OF SUSTAINABLE TELECOM INFRASTRUCTURE

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC recognizes and has prioritized broadband access, and telecom infrastructure more broadly, as an “essential service” and will advocate for affordable, safe, reliable, high-speed infrastructure development and access in every city. This includes but is not limited to: supporting expansion of service options for municipalities; increasing rural cellular infrastructure; and maintaining open access to networks that provide choice to community members.

Background: Advancements in telecommunications technology have ushered in unprecedented investments in infrastructure development aimed at providing broadband access and other communication modalities to all. Deploying infrastructure in Oregon must be done equitably across the state’s diverse landscape and focus on addressing current coverage gaps as well as statewide infrastructure that serves all.

MAINTAIN LOCAL CONTROL OF A SAFE, MODERN RIGHT OF WAY

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will oppose any legislation seeking to preempt local control of municipal rights-of-way (ROW). The LOC will also support legislation aimed at codifying home rule management of the local ROW and the revenue derived from use of the ROW, while encouraging ROW users to timely upgrade and replace their infrastructure lost to natural disaster.

Background: Home rule authority and local ROW management allow local governments to safely manage ROW issues that arise after work is complete, and when the ROW work-related permit may no longer be in effect. It ensures that utilities installing facilities in the ROW have financial protections like insurance in place, which help protect the public using the ROW and other entities whose infrastructure may be damaged during utility work. It ensures that local taxpayers will not have to pay costs associated with utilities’ use of the ROW. It also ensures accountability through a variety of enforcement mechanisms.

SUPPORT EQUITABLE ACCESS TO TECHNOLOGY

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will support policies such as the Oregon Lifeline Program to ensure digital inclusion. The LOC will also continue to monitor implementation of the

U.S. Department of Justice’s website accessibility rule implementation and support municipal resource opportunities for accessibility. Finally, the LOC will support funding for programs that increase digital skills, equity, and adoption.

Background: All individuals regardless of physical, financial, or any other barrier have a right to access advancements in telecom technology.

SUPPORT RESPONSIBLE MUNICIPAL USE OF TECHNOLOGY

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will support legislation that acknowledges forward-thinking regulations, taking into account the rapid evolution of telecom systems. The LOC may also support agency policy options that include municipal telecom resilience and concepts such as municipal AI assessments, and an “AI Sandbox” to further understanding of AI risks and other technological advancements in a controlled environment. In this work, the LOC will work to prevent unfunded mandates.

Background: Advancements in technology, including but not limited to AI, have demonstrated operational efficiencies, yet those come with risks if not implemented correctly and used safely. The LOC is cautiously optimistic about the use of AI and other tools that increase service and operational efficiency, but recognizes that some regulation may be necessary to define appropriate municipal uses and foster an atmosphere of responsibility and data safety.

SUPPORT MUNICIPAL CYBERSECURITY PROFICIENCY

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC opposes unfunded mandates and will advocate for technical support and funding to give all cities access to innovative technology, enhanced state programs, cybersecurity notification resources and assistance protecting critical infrastructure and data during cyber-attacks.

Background: Cybercriminals target municipalities as vulnerable systems holding valuable personal data and financial records. Historical underfunding of cybersecurity, antiquated system architecture, and lack of cybersecurity training contribute to the increasing trend in municipal cyberattacks. Cyberattacks can impact services with dire consequences for residents. Hackers typically look for the easiest entry path, and local governments often lack the resources to respond.

General Government Committee

LOC Contact: Charlie Conrad, cconrad@orcities.org

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT REFORM

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will advocate for the comprehensive modernization of the Oregon Administrative Procedures Act (APA) to restore regulatory transparency, strict alignment with legislative intent, and meaningful public accountability across all state agencies. This advocacy should include:

- Standardizing the rulemaking process across all state agencies to eliminate regulatory fragmentation;
- Strengthening public and expert participation in all rulemaking and workgroup processes;
- Establishing independent oversight and auditing of fiscal impact statements;
- Limiting and restricting the use of emergency rulemaking to actual crises; and
- Reforming judicial deference standards to ensure state agencies remain answerable to elected leaders and voters.

Background: Established in 1971, the Oregon APA is the legal framework that dictates how state agencies create regulations. However, the system has not seen a major update in decades, resulting in an unpredictable regulatory environment. Because there is no single standard for all agencies, different departments often pass conflicting rules that make compliance incredibly difficult for organizations on the ground. Furthermore, many key agencies report to independent boards rather than the governor, which creates an accountability vacuum. Recent state court decisions have compounded the issue by granting agencies broad leeway, ruling that their regulations do not strictly have to align with the original legislative intent.

This regulatory breakdown directly impacts local governments. When uncoordinated state agencies issue overlapping or conflicting rules, cities are left trapped in the middle—forced to navigate a maze of contradictory mandates that drain municipal staff time and stretch city budgets. Furthermore, because recent court rulings have stripped away traditional checks on administrative reach, cities have lost the ability to challenge flawed, 'one-size-fits-all' state regulations that ignore local realities. This loss of local control and financial stability necessitates reform. Protecting municipal home rule, ensuring local voices are actually heard during the rulemaking process, and restoring a sense of predictability and fairness to how state policies are implemented on the ground is necessary.

PUBLIC MEETINGS LAW CLARITY

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will advocate for a comprehensive statutory reset of the Oregon Public Meetings Law to restore operational functionality and practicality for local governments while protecting public transparency. This priority includes the following provisions:

- Establishing an Intentionality Requirement: Limit serial meeting violations strictly to actions taken "knowingly and intentionally" to protect volunteer elected officials from penalties for good-faith, inadvertent errors.
- Streamlining Complaint Enforcement: Authorize the Oregon Government Ethics Commission (OGE) to immediately dismiss incomplete, trivial, or bad-faith complaints.
- Holding the Right Entity Accountable: Shift personal liability for purely administrative errors—such as delayed meeting notices—away from individual elected officials and onto the public body as an institution.
- Expanding the "Cure" Process: Provide flexible, accessible avenues for local governments to address and correct procedural complaints, including decision rescission or public acknowledgement with a corrective action plan.
- Right-Sizing Training Requirements: Reform mandatory compliance training to occur once per elected term rather than annually, while allowing incoming officials to complete the requirement prior to being sworn in.

Background: Oregon's Public Meetings Law prohibits local elected officials from deliberating in private, a rule that includes a ban on "serial meetings," where separate, smaller discussions are held over time that may add up to a quorum. Recent Oregon Government Ethics Commission (OGE) rules and training on this topic have created widespread confusion, leaving public officials deeply uncertain about how they can communicate with each other, their residents, or the media. A diverse work group of local leaders and legislators spent months negotiating a bipartisan fix during the 2026 legislative session, but Governor Kotek ultimately vetoed the bill, calling for stakeholders to return to the drawing board for the 2027 session. Today, the interpretation of this law remains highly contentious, and ongoing discrepancies create massive structural ambiguities and political tensions, making it incredibly difficult for local leaders to effectively govern.

To make the public meetings law workable, several practical fixes are urgently needed to save taxpayer resources and provide clarity to local officials. The current system: forces volunteer leaders to face personal liability for minor staff mistakes like late meeting notices; offers no clear way for cities to self-correct simple procedural errors; and requires repetitive annual training. To keep local governments functioning, the state

must shield individual officials from administrative liability, allow the OGEC's staff to quickly dismiss trivial or bad-faith complaints, create a clear path for cities to self-correct honest mistakes, and right-size compliance training to once per elected term.

Housing, Land Use, and Community Development Committee

LOC Contact: Alexandra Ring, aring@orcities.org

FUNDING AND COORDINATION FOR SHELTER AND HOMELESS RESPONSE

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC opposes cuts to the state shelter system funding and supports continued state investments in a comprehensive homeless response system to fund eviction prevention, shelter, and rehousing. These investments should include:

- Strengthening our coordinated regional homeless response;
- Funding a range of shelter types in cities across the state, including alternative shelter models, safe parking programs; and
- Funding eviction prevention, rapid rehousing, outreach, case management, staffing and administrative support, and other related services.

Background: The LOC recognizes that to end homelessness, a cross-sector coordinated approach to delivering services, housing, and programs is needed. Cities are not social service providers and largely do not have the additional revenue sources to fund new services outside their core services, amid ongoing budget cuts. Despite historic legislative investments in recent years, communities continue to struggle to support and rehouse Oregonians experiencing homelessness. In 2025, the Legislature passed HB 3644, which created the Statewide Shelter Program, a comprehensive funding program for state supported local shelters based in regional coordination. In addition to the statutory framework, the Legislature passed a funding package of \$204 million to support sheltering efforts in the 2025-2027 biennium, with \$102 million as the new baseline level of funding for all biennium through 2034. In a tight budget environment, the Legislature's ability and interest in continuing these investments is unclear.

As Oregon continues to face increasing rates of unsheltered homelessness, the LOC is committed to strengthening a regionally based, state-supported homeless response system to ensure all Oregonians can equitably access stable housing and maintain secure, thriving communities. Regional coordination is key to the system's success, and the state, cities, and counties must be part of the solution together.

FULL FUNDING AND STREAMLINING FOR HOUSING PRODUCTION

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will seek opportunities to address structural barriers to

production of different housing options at the regional and state level. This includes:

- Streamlining state agency programs, directives, funding metrics, and grant timelines that impact development;
- Accurate tracking of units produced on the ground, rather than units unlocked;
- Aligning state programs with local capital improvement and budget timelines; and
- Increasing connections between affordable housing resources at Oregon Housing and Community Services (OHCS) with the land use directives in the Oregon Housing Needs Analysis (OHNA) and Climate Friendly and Equitable Communities (CFEC) programs at the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD).

Additionally, the LOC will advocate maintaining and increasing state investments to support the development and preservation of a range of needed housing types and affordability, including:

- Publicly supported affordable housing and related services;
- Affordable homeownership;
- Permanent supportive housing;
- Affordable modular and manufactured housing;
- Middle housing types; and
- Moderate-income workforce housing development.

Background: Recent legislation and executive orders have made significant changes to the state's land use planning process, including new housing production directives for cities and counties. And yet, housing production levels remain below our state's current needs. The state should prioritize implementation, funding, and coordination of existing programs in the 2027-2028 legislative sessions before considering any new policies, including direct funding for housing production to address the underlying economic challenges that prevent production.

LAND AVAILABILITY AND LAND USE STREAMLINING

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC supports updates and streamlining statutes to better support good land use planning and land availability for all needs. This includes:

- Refining land use statutes to provide more clarity and legal certainty for cities;
- Clarifying wetland statutes and inventories to allow efficient and orderly urbanization of land within urban growth boundaries (UGBs);
- Streamlining UGB expansion and swap processes for residential, commercial, and

industrial land;

- Improving urban reserves process and land prioritization; and
- Funding for technical assistance for code audits and updates to comply with new state statutes.

Background: Oregon's land use system has undergone substantial change over the past decade, without revisions to the underlying structure. Years of layering legislation with different requirements and new interpretations of existing law have increased regulatory complexity that cities must navigate to be compliant within Oregon's land use system. Complying with state land use laws has become expensive, time-consuming and confusing, and prevents cities from having sufficient land for all needs (housing, industrial, and commercial). This has created challenges for ensuring that communities have sufficient supplies of land for all types of development, impacting other the housing and economic development priorities of the state.

Energy and Environment Committee

LOC Contact: Greg Miller, gmliller@orcities.org

INVEST IN COMMUNITY ENERGY RESILIENCE/DISASTER PLANNING

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will engage in efforts to provide planning resources, technical assistance and funding to cities preparing to withstand, maintain and recover their energy needs in the event of a disaster. This includes resources for energy efficiency and/or local energy generation like biomass, solar, hydro, biogas, and generators. It also includes virtual power plants, microgrids, energy storage, and other solutions that help cities and their communities increase energy resilience.

Background: Frequency and severity of natural disasters and growing regional energy shortages have demonstrated the potential to cripple Oregon communities during an emergency. Intentional disaster planning and community energy resource development can be costly, but will contribute to Oregon cities' resilience and ongoing viability during floods, seismic events, drought, wildfires, and energy brown/blackouts.

SUPPORT EXPEDITED LOCAL RENEWABLE ENERGY GENERATION

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will continue to support traditional large renewable energy development and transmission projects while mitigating impacts on local control. To support Oregon clean/renewable energy targets and increased capacity, the LOC also supports local initiatives to develop alternative/renewable energy sources that fit the needs of each city.

Background: Recent studies of projected Pacific Northwest energy load growth in the next five years show a projected shortfall of 3GW (3,000,000kw) by 2030. That shortfall compounds by 2035. Viability of Oregon cities depends upon increased reliable energy generation.

SUPPORT INCREASED ENERGY TRANSMISSION CAPACITY

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will explore and support initiatives that increase renewable energy transmission while mitigating impacts on local control.

Background: Large scale renewable energy is largely produced east of the Cascade divide. Maximizing current transmission and developing new capacity to make renewable energy available to all regions is an important factor in achieving equitable community energy resilience while meeting Oregon decarbonization and climate goals.

MAINTAIN AFFORDABLE ENERGY WHILE SUPPORTING GRID HARDENING AND MODERNIZATION

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will support initiatives that balance necessary grid modernization against manageable rate increases for cities and residents.

Background: Growing and modernizing Oregon's aging electric grid is necessary. However, upgrades and increased capacity are challenging. Utilities are not insulated from recent inflation and face unprecedented increases in equipment and infrastructure costs. Customers are also seeing increased rates due to rising utility infrastructure costs and spending on wildfire mitigation.

RESOURCE PROGRAMS FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY PLANNING AND PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will support legislation that further supports Community Renewable Energy Programs, Green Bank Concepts, Home Energy Rebates, Solar and Storage Rebates, Electrification Incentives.

Background: Oregon's 241 incorporated cities are at the forefront of delivering on Oregon's climate and energy goals: building decarbonization, energy efficiency and renewable energy generation. These mandates, while important, fall largely upon local governments that are perpetually constrained financially. Continued legislative allocations to support climate/energy programs and local governments will be paramount to continue taking the incremental steps necessary to achieve the state's 2030 greenhouse gas reduction targets and 2040 emissions elimination goals.

SUPPORT ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will support state budgets that increase targeted agency capacity needed to adequately and efficiently provide technical assistance, permitting and resources to municipalities related to state and federal energy and climate goals. This includes fully funded and staffed local programs dedicated to implementation of state climate and decarbonization initiatives, especially those related to guidance derived from federal agencies.

Background: Looming large in the Pacific Northwest are concerns about federal energy policies and utility capabilities to meet Oregon's clean energy targets. Additional capacity at the state level will support communities that are navigating changing guidance and requirements.

Finance, Taxation, and Economic Development Committee

LOC Contact: Colette Tipper, ctipper@orcities.org

TARGETED PREVAILING WAGE CHANGES

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will advocate for targeted changes to prevailing wage requirements that: recognize urgent needs for Oregon communities; consider the impact of inflation; and maintain the original intent of Oregon's prevailing wage law. This includes supporting reoccurring legislation to exempt publicly financed facilities aimed at addressing the housing crisis (affordable housing, shelters, day centers) and the lack of childcare, as well as efforts to address mounting infrastructure needs impacting housing and economic development. The LOC will support additional clarity around split determination issues that are impacting growth, ensuring cities can proactively prepare for growth without impacting future, private developments.

Background: Prevailing wage rates are minimum hourly rates a contractor must pay its employees under public works contracts; and while there are federal and state prevailing wage rates, the recommendation above impacts state prevailing wage laws. The wage rates vary by occupation and region, with the rates being set by the Oregon Bureau of Labor & Industries (BOLI). Oregon law requires prevailing wages to be paid on a project if it hits one of two specific triggers: the public agency trigger or the public funding trigger:

1. Public Agency Trigger - If a project meets the definition of a "public works" under ORS 2798C.800(6)(a) and the total cost of the project is \$50,000 or more. Examples of qualifying projects include roads, buildings, and improvements – whether it's new construction, renovation, painting, demolition, or the removal of hazardous waste. The \$50,000 threshold was set in 2005. If adjusted for inflation, the threshold would be roughly \$86,000.
2. Public Funding Trigger - A project might be private, but it uses \$750,000 or more of

taxpayer money. The same types of projects qualify for the public funding trigger. Note: there are very specific exceptions of public money being used that do not trigger prevailing wages (see ORS 279C.810(1)(a) and OAR 839-025-0004(11)(b)). The \$750,000 threshold was set in 2007. If adjusted for inflation, the threshold would be roughly \$1.2 million.

Additionally, Oregon law states that in the case of public-private partnerships, BOLI shall divide the project to separate the private and public aspects of the project. The public aspects of the project will be subject to prevailing wage, while the private aspects will not. [This recently impacted developments in the Willamette Valley](#). Developable lots with publicly funded infrastructure should not mean that private projects automatically prevail.

ALCOHOL REVENUE MODERNIZATION

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will advocate for alcohol tax revenue modernization that generates increased shared revenues for cities. This includes support for recommendations from the HB 3610 Task Force on Alcohol Pricing to increase beer and wine taxes while preserving the existing 34% revenue-sharing distribution to cities. This may also include legislation removing the preemption on local alcohol taxes.

Background: Cities have significant public safety costs related to alcohol consumption and must receive revenue commensurate to the cost of providing services related to alcohol. In considering an increase, the LOC will balance the economic and consumer pressures impacting breweries across the state.

Oregon is a control state and the Oregon Liquor and Cannabis Commission (OLCC, formerly known as the Oregon Liquor Control Commission) acts as the sole importer and distributor of liquor. Cities and other local governments are preempted from imposing alcohol taxes. In exchange, cities receive approximately 34% share of net state alcohol revenues. The OLCC has also imposed a 50-cent surcharge per bottle of liquor since the 2009-2011 biennium, which is directed towards the state's general fund. Oregon's beer tax has not increased since 1978 and is \$2.60 per barrel, which equates to about 8.4 cents per gallon, or less than 5 cents on a six-pack. Oregon's wine tax is 67 cents per gallon and 77 cents per gallon on dessert wines. Oregon has one of the lowest beer taxes in the country ([source](#)) and the wine tax is closer to national average ([source](#)).

EMERGENCY COMMUNICATION SYSTEM RESOURCES

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will support legislation enhancing the effectiveness of the state's emergency communications system through an increase in the 9-1-1 tax. Inflationary factors have lessened the impact of the [emergency communications tax](#). State estimates indicate that this revenue covered 40% of dispatch center costs, but that

figure is inaccurate, with some communities receiving as little as 20-25%.

Background: Oregon's 9-1-1 tax was increased to \$1.00 per line or prepaid transaction effective January 1, 2020, and further increased to \$1.25 effective January 1, 2021. Before this change from 2019's HB 2449, the rate had been 75 cents since 1995. The new tax increase on 9-1-1 expires January 1, 2030. Most cities will not receive this state shared revenue directly, as the city share is directed to the public safety answering point (PSAP) provider connected to the statewide network, and most of these are managed by counties or a regional entity, rather than a city. The PSAPs are only partially funded through the state's Emergency Communications Tax, with the balance of operating costs coming primarily from property taxes. Local governments receive approximately 60% of 9-1-1 taxes, but the taxes generally covered less than 25% of the costs of total PSAP operations before the recent rate increases. Ratios of individual PSAP costs to taxes received vary. The local government share of the state tax is distributed 1% to each county, with the remainder distributed per capita.

STRENGTHENING ECONOMIC AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will support efforts to modernize and strengthen Oregon's existing economic development programs while advocating to maintain local flexibility and autonomy over local revenues. The LOC's advocacy includes strengthening and increasing funding for current state programs like Enterprise Zones (EZ), Long Term Rural Enterprise Zone (LTREZ), the Strategic Investment Program (SIP), the Industrial Site Loan Fund, Brownfield programs, and infrastructure programs, as well as new efforts to support a healthy economy.

The LOC will also support efforts to strengthen Oregon's workforce development initiatives that help to meet critical workforce shortages experienced by public and private employers throughout the state. The LOC will advocate for policies and investments that strengthen local talent pipelines, expand access to workforce education and training, and help communities recruit and retain the skilled workforce needed to support and grow a healthy economy.

Background: Communities across the state have leveraged local economic development incentive programs (Enterprise Zones (EZ), Long Term Rural Enterprise Zone (LTREZ), Strategic Investment Program (SIP), etc.) to support strong local economies, community investment, and long-term economic growth. These programs are intentionally designed to provide local governments with flexibility to attract and retain industries that align with local economic priorities, infrastructure capacity, and workforce needs. The EZ and LTREZ programs provide local governments the option to offer a temporary full exemption from property taxes for qualified new business investment, while the SIP program allows local governments to offer a 15-year partial exemption on the value of new property exceeding a specified investment threshold.

These incentive programs are critical tools for maintaining Oregon’s national competitiveness and generating substantial long-term benefits through job creation, enhanced economic activity, infrastructure investment, and increased tax revenues. Local governments absorb the primary impact of temporary property tax exemptions and provide the infrastructure and public services necessary to support development, while the state realizes significant revenue gains through increased income tax collections and broader economic activity generated by these investments. As the state increasingly benefits from local economic development efforts, it should also be a stronger financial partner in supporting and sustaining these programs. Preserving local discretion, predictability, and flexibility within economic development programs remains essential to ensuring communities can effectively compete for and support major economic development opportunities.

Public and private employers across the state are having trouble filling critical workforce needs. As an example, Oregon respondents to the [2025 Associated General Contractors of America Workforce Survey](#) indicate that 56% expect to hire new employees and 54% have increased headcount in the last year. However, 52% of respondents report trouble hiring due to a lack of qualified and credentialed candidates. This challenge rings true for cities. Cities have reported trouble filling wastewater treatment positions due to lack of qualified candidates, while community colleges are neglecting to start programs because of the high cost of running them.

Transportation Committee

LOC Contact: Nicole Stingham, nstingham@orcities.org

2027 TRANSPORTATION PACKAGE

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC supports a robust transportation package that supports Oregon's multi-jurisdictional, multi-modal, and safety-oriented transportation system. Recognizing that Oregonians don't always know who owns what route they rely on, any revenue should support our shared transportation system. The LOC believes this is most effective through a statewide revenue source rather than more administratively burdensome and inequitable local revenue methods that are unable to ensure that all users pay their fair share (including through user fees).

Cities and locally owned transportation systems rely on a strong state partner to meet our shared goals of a system that moves people from “point a to point b” safely and effectively. In addition, the conditions of state and county routes impact cities by both directing additional traffic on city streets and affecting how residents get to where they need to go safely. This requires system expansion to be secondary to restoring funds in grant programs and transit services, protecting existing programs, and an overall focus on operation and maintenance.

Background: After a failed package in 2025, efforts are underway on a 2027 package to address the known need for state, county, and city infrastructure. The State Highway Fund (SHF) is the primary revenue source for the state's transportation infrastructure, and comes from various sources, including gas and diesel tax, weight mile tax, vehicle registration fees, vehicle title fees, and driver's license fees. These funds are distributed using a 50-30-20 formula, with 50% going to the state, 30% to counties, and 20% to cities. Continued investment in transportation infrastructure is critical for public safety objectives such as the Safe Routes to Schools and the Great Streets programs. In addition to those grant programs, local communities rely on the Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund and Oregon Community Paths resources. These programs are essential in cities to support efficient, convenient, safe, cost-effective transportation systems that are open to all members of our communities.

CAUTIOUS ADOPTION OF AUTONOMOUS VEHICLES

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will advocate for necessary tools to support communities as autonomous vehicles (AVs) come to Oregon, particularly for private for-hire AVs. Cities are proactively and cautiously preparing for new technology, like autonomous vehicles, and it's crucial to get the regulations right to ensure proper coordination, alignment between all stakeholders, and flexibility as the technology is evolving rapidly.

AVs will impact city goals and requirements, ultimately impacting their transportation system plans. Cities must not be preempted in their ability to permit (as it relates to private for-hire AVs) and regulate the use of this new technology on city infrastructure. Cities should have the ability to:

- Ensure AVs follow the rules of the road and can receive traffic violations like human drivers, and that law enforcement officials are trained on ways to disengage an AV;
- Require geofenced areas to have operational standards based on the local needs or goals (like limiting 0 passenger trips), to accommodate special events, or to address infrastructure challenges that prevent safe deployment of technology;
- Allow revenue generation to offset costs for training law enforcement and first responders; and
- Limit the number of AVs that are allowed to operate in a city, potentially scaling up as predetermined performance benchmarks are reached.

At the same time, the LOC supports federal authority to determine if and when an AV is street ready. In addition, the state should determine cyber safety standards, insurance requirements, vehicle registration, and other activities.

Background: With new technologies comes new and unforeseen challenges and opportunities. AVs offer the ability to improve traffic safety by limiting human error, as

industry data shows. AVs could also promote other economic activity and create access to our transportation system. At the same time, there are key risks to mitigate as we learn lessons from cities across the nation that have operated with AVs. One lesson – a slow rollout will help companies identify and address issues as they arise. From navigating weather to power outages, San Francisco transportation officials have learned a lot and shared that with the LOC's Transportation Policy Committee. Despite AVs being in operation for years, the California Department of Motor Vehicles recently created rules around traffic citations for AVs nearly two years after their state legislature passed authorizing legislation. Oregon would benefit from waiting until the technology has advanced, being a fast follower rather than an early adapter. In addition, Oregon should balance support for industry and competition with public trust and public good.

In addition, for more than 40 years, the state of Oregon has recognized that for-hire services like taxis and other vehicles for-hire are a necessary part of the transportation system and has left regulation to local authorities (see ORS 221.485, ORS 221.495). Private for-hire AVs should have higher standards for city regulation versus privately owned vehicles.

CITY SUPPORTED STREET SIGN TRADITIONS

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will advocate to allow cities to support community activities and fundraisers through signs on light poles. Many cities hang signs on street light poles to recognize holidays, and in some cases these signs are sold as a fundraiser to support chambers, downtown organizations, or other community causes (examples include Mother's Day flowers and Christmas ornaments). State statute prevents this if the sign is visible from a state highway. The LOC will work to create flexibility for cities to support these fundraisers even if they are visible from a state highway.

Background: State statute prevents "advertising signs" within view of state highways, even if they are not on state right-of-way. The state considers advertising to be any exchange of compensation, regardless of its intended purpose. While this is a long-standing statute that is not actively consistently enforced, it has recently prevented one community from supporting a fundraiser for their downtown association. The LOC Transportation Policy Committee is flexible on the solutions and has directed staff to work with the Oregon Department of Transportation on bill language to address this in the least administratively burdensome manner, which will likely include a waiver for fundraising efforts that are endorsed by a city.

Water and Wastewater Committee

LOC Contact: Michael Martin, mmartin@orcities.org

INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDING

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will advocate for increased and sustained investments in drinking water, wastewater, stormwater, and water reuse infrastructure needed to support housing production, economic development, public health, and environmental protection. This includes: expanding flexible, low-cost financing tools that reduce ratepayer burden; supporting infrastructure capacity needed for housing and economic development; investing in aquifer storage and recovery projects; protecting system development charge authority; and sustaining infrastructure financing programs, including the Special Public Works Fund, which help communities address critical infrastructure needs. The LOC will advocate for policies that recognize the increasing costs associated with regulatory compliance, environmental protection, and workforce needs.

Background: Water infrastructure (drinking water, wastewater, stormwater, and reuse) is essential to supporting housing production, economic development, public health, and environmental protection in communities across Oregon. Cities own and operate drinking water, wastewater, stormwater, and water reuse systems that require ongoing investment to maintain reliability, comply with state and federal regulations, and serve existing and future residents.

The 2024 LOC Infrastructure Survey identified approximately \$6.4 billion in water infrastructure needs statewide. Cities continue to face increasing costs associated with aging infrastructure, regulatory compliance, environmental requirements, workforce challenges, and inflationary factors.

Without adequate infrastructure capacity, communities may struggle to accommodate needed housing development and economic growth. State investments should support direct infrastructure projects and proven financing programs that help communities plan, construct, and upgrade critical facilities. State programs like the Special Public Works Fund play an important role in helping communities address critical infrastructure needs.

WATER REUSE

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will advocate for policies and investments that support the development and expansion of water reuse projects throughout Oregon. This includes: funding for planning, design, and construction; clear and predictable regulatory pathways; and technical assistance for local governments. The LOC will support

maintaining local flexibility to implement community-specific reuse solutions that protect public health and environmental quality.

Background: The Legislature has recognized the value of water reuse through [House Bill 2169 \(2025\)](#). Despite growing interest in water reuse, many communities face financial, technical, and regulatory barriers that limit the development of water reuse. Cities need funding, technical assistance, and regulatory certainty to evaluate and implement reuse projects that meet local needs. As Oregon continues to invest in water infrastructure and community resilience, water reuse should be recognized as an important tool that complements existing water management strategies and helps communities achieve long-term sustainability goals.

RATEPAYER ASSISTANCE

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will advocate for improved affordability for drinking water and wastewater customers while maintaining the financial stability necessary to operate, maintain, and improve local utility systems. This includes state-supported water and wastewater ratepayer assistance programs, and policies that consider affordability impacts on residents.

Background: Cities face the challenge of balancing affordability for residents with the investments necessary to maintain safe and reliable utility systems. State-supported ratepayer assistance programs and increased participating in infrastructure funding can help reduce financial burdens on households while preserving the financial sustainability of local utilities and ensuring continued access to essential water services. In 2025, the Legislature introduced [House Bill 3527](#), which requested \$11 million to continue funding the program, but the bill did not advance out of the budget committee.

WATER WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

RECOMMENDATION: The LOC will advocate for investments in workforce development programs that support the recruitment, training, certification, and retention of drinking water and wastewater professionals. This includes: support for operator-in-training and apprenticeship programs; certification and reciprocity improvements; regional training opportunities; and funding that helps communities develop and maintain a qualified water workforce.

Background: Oregon cities depend on certified operators and other utility professionals to provide safe drinking water, protect water quality, maintain regulatory compliance, and operate increasingly complex infrastructure systems. Water utilities across the state face growing challenges of recruiting, training, and retaining qualified personnel.

Investments in workforce development, training infrastructure, and career pathways will

help ensure communities have the skilled workforce necessary to operate and maintain critical water infrastructure systems. The Legislature recently recognized the importance of this workforce with [House Bill 4007 \(2026\)](#), which established a “Water Professionals Appreciation Week” annually in October.